

Legal Knowledge Based Systems

JURIX '97

JURIX: The Tenth Conference

The Foundation for Legal Knowledge Systems

Editors:

A. Oskamp

R.V. De Mulder

C. van Noortwijk

C.A.F.M. Grütters

K. Ashley

T. Gordon

Legal Knowledge Based Systems

JURIX: The Tenth Conference

The Foundation for Legal Knowledge Systems

Editors:

**A. Oskamp, R.V. De Mulder, C. van Noortwijk,
C.A.F.M. Grütters, K. Ashley, T. Gordon**

JURIX '97

LEGAL KNOWLEDGE BASED SYSTEMS
JURIX: The Tenth Conference

**LEGAL KNOWLEDGE BASED SYSTEMS
JURIX: The Tenth Conference**

**The Foundation for
Legal Knowledge Systems**

Editors:

**A. Oskamp, R.V. De Mulder
C. van Noortwijk, C.A.F.M. Grütters
K. Ashley, T. Gordon**

The Foundation for Legal Knowledge Systems (JURIX) is a forum for research in Law and Artificial Intelligence in The Netherlands. Since 1988, JURIX has organized annual conferences on current research in the field.

This volume contains the proceedings of the tenth international JURIX conference, held at The Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam on December 12, 1997. The themes addressed in this volume are: modelling the law and ontologies, argumentation frameworks, arguing with cases and the impact of success in computer chess on legal knowledge based systems.

JURIX '97

ISBN 90-71478-54-8

The JURIX'97 conference has been made possible by financial support of:

The publisher is aware that, in spite of all care taken by editors, authors and publisher, shortcomings may occur in this publication. For these, however, authors and publisher cannot accept any liability

De uitgever is zich ervan bewust dat, ondanks de zorg die de redactie, auteurs en uitgever hebben besteed aan de samenstelling van deze uitgave, onvolkomenheden kunnen zijn ontstaan. Hiervoor kunnen de redactie, auteurs en uitgever geen enkele aansprakelijkheid aanvaarden.

Ontwerp omslag: C. Koevoets

ISBN 90-71478-54-8

© 1997 JURIX The Foundation for Legal Knowledge Systems

Alle rechten voorbehouden. Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden verveelvoudigd, opgeslagen in een geautomatiseerd gegevensbestand, of openbaar gemaakt, in enige vorm of op enige wijze, hetzij elektronisch, mechanisch, door fotokopieën, opnamen of op enige andere manier, zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgever.

Voor zover het maken van kopieën uit deze uitgave is toegestaan op grond van artikel 16b Auteurswet 1912 j°, het Besluit van 20 juni 1974, Stb. 351, zoals gewijzigd bij het Besluit van 23 augustus 1985, Stb. 471, en artikel 17 Auteurswet 1912, dient men de daarvoor wettelijk verschuldigde vergoedingen te voldoen aan de Stichting Reprorecht (Postbus 882, 1180 AW Amstelveen). Voor het overnemen van gedeelte(n) uit deze uitgave in bloemlezingen, readers en andere compilatiewerken (artikel 16 Auteurswet 1912) dient men zich tot de uitgever te wenden.



Contents

Foreword	1
Bart Verheij and Jaap Hage States of Affaires, Events, and Rules: An Abstract Model of Law ..	3
Arno R. Lodder Procedural Arguments	21
James Palmer Assessing Arguments in the Abstract: Some Problems with Argu- mentation Frameworks	33
Pepijn R.S. Visser Towards Distributed Legal Information Systems: A Discussion of Ontology Mismatches	43
Anneke de Lange and Peter Schipper A Knowledge Based Architecture Framework for Integrated Ser- vices in an Administrative Law Environment	55
John Yearwood Case-based Retrieval of Refugee Review Tribunal Text Cases	67
T.J.M. Bench-Capon Arguing with Cases	85
Abdul Paliwala Artificial Blue and Deep Intelligence	101
H.J. van den Herik From Chess Moves to Legal Decisions: A Position Statement	107

Foreword

The tenth JURIX conference has again succeeded in being a platform for researchers from all over the world. The proceedings of the JURIX '97 conference represent some of the topics of interest at this moment: modelling the law and ontologies, argumentation frame works and arguing on the basis of cases. The papers do not solely contribute from a theoretical point of view, but also take into account the practical angle.

The contributions

The topic of modelling the law and ontologies is discussed from different angles. The paper of Bart Verheij and Jaap Hage presents an abstract model of the law that takes the view that the law is a dynamic system of states of affairs which are connected by means of rules and events. As such, this model can be considered to be a legal ontology and can be useful for legal knowledge representation. In his paper, Pepijn Visser discusses the ontology mismatches that occur because lawyers must use various information systems. It will become more and more important to integrate these systems. However, problems will arise from differences in domain ontologies in the participating systems. The paper presents a classification of ontology mismatches and discusses how hard they are to overcome. The paper of Anneke de Lange and Peter Schipper presents a knowledge based architecture framework for integrated services in an administrative law environment, the Service 2000-project. The framework has been developed from a combined knowledge management and AI and Law perspective. For handling ontology problems they developed the 'Knowledge broker' which uses strategic knowledge to make the different ontologies co-operate in an effective way.

The paper of Arno Lodder discusses one of the aspects in the discussion on argumentation frameworks that so far has not had much attention: the procedural side. Since procedural arguments play an important part in legal practice, Lodder argues that procedural models of legal reasoning should take procedural arguments into account. James Palmer addresses some problems with argumentation frameworks. While acknowledging the merits of argumentation frameworks, he argues that although they are well suited to modelling a piece of legal reasoning, after the conflicting arguments have been presented and assessed by a human reasoner, they are not well suited as the basis for AI applications which attempt to generate and assess such arguments.

The remaining topic of the conference deals with cases. The paper of John Yearwood examines techniques for improving retrieval effectiveness by using the structure present in the text cases. It has been shown that better results are achieved when a derived legal structure is used and even with a simple derivative process the results have greater depth. In the last paper in the JURIX '97 proceedings, Trevor Bench-Capon discusses the work done in two of the most successful programs for reasoning with cases in AI and Law: HYPO and CATO. He presents an algorithm for generating legal arguments and concludes by drawing attention to three areas of possible future investigation.

Debate on computer chess and legal knowledge systems.

The fact that in 1997, for the first time in history, a computer in a series of games defeated the reigning chess world champion gave rise to a debate on the future of legal informatics. During the conference, a panel discussion will take place between lawyers, computer scientists and chess players. In this book, the 'position papers' of two of the participants have been published in advance. Jaap van den Herik expresses his long held belief that as a computer can play chess the inescapable consequence is that a computer can make legal, and even judiciary, decisions. Abdul Paliwala points out that in order to atomise legal decision making, a choice has to be made as to which legal theories to adopt. Furthermore, he sketches a dangerous future in which the LAW will be adapted to facilitate the programming of automated decision systems.

Acknowledgements

The JURIX '97 conference was organized by the Computer/Law Institute of the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. Financial support was received by the Faculty of Law of the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, MRE Meester Expertsystemen and ITER, the IT and Law research program of The Netherlands Foundation for Scientific Research (NWO).

Many thanks also to the referees of the papers submitted to JURIX '97: Carole Hafner, Jaap Hage, Robert van Kralingen, Kees van Noortwijk, Henry Prakken, Pepijn Visser, Radboud Winkels and John Zeleznikow

We owe special thanks to Leo Adriaenssen for editing the proceedings for publication and to Prof. Rik Kaspersen for his general support.

The organizing committee consisted of:

Maaïke Tragter (chair) (Computer/Law Institute Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam).
Evert Neppelenbroek (Computer/Law Institute Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam).
Kees van Noortwijk (Erasmus University Rotterdam).

The program committee consisted of:

Richard De Mulder (chair) (Erasmus University Rotterdam).
Kevin Ashley (Pittsburgh University, USA).
Tom Gordon (Gmd, Bonn, Germany).
Anja Oskamp (Computer/Law Institute Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and University of Nijmegen).